arXiv:1606.04137v2 [math.CO] 20 Jun 2016

Combinatorial proof of the transcendence of $L(1,\chi_s)/\Pi$

YINING HU
CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-PRG
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Case 247
4 Place Jussieu
F-75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France)
yining.hu@imj-prg.fr

Abstract

We give a combinatorial proof of the transcendence of $L(1,\chi_s)/\Pi$, where $L(1,\chi_s)$ (resp. Π) is the analogue in characteristic p of the function L of Dirichlet (resp. π). This result has been proven by G. Damamme using the criteria of de Mathan. Our proof is based on the Theorem of Christol and another property of k-automatic sequences.

1 Introduction

$$[k] = T^{q^k} - T,$$
$$L_k = [k]...[1], \quad L_0 = 1.$$
$$\Pi = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{[j]}{[j+1]}\right)$$

Theorem 1 (Theorem 2 in [3]). *For $s < q$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$L(1,\chi_s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k(s-1)}\frac{(T-a)^{s\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}}}{L_k}.$$

The following Theorem is proved in [3] as a corollary of Theorem 1 using the criteria of De Mathan.

Theorem 2 (Corollary 2 in [3]). *For $1 < s < q$, $L(1,\chi_s)/\Pi$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$.*

Our goal in this article is to give another proof of Theorem 2 starting from the expression of Theorem 1, by means of properties of automatic sequences.

For an integer $k \geq 2$, one of the equivalent definitions of a k-automatic sequence is a sequence that can be generated by a k-DFAO (deterministic finite automaton with output). We recall here the definition of the latter as we will need it in the proof of Lemma 1:
A k-DFAO is a 6-tuple

$$M = (Q, \Sigma_k, \delta, q_0, \Delta, \tau)$$

where Q is a finite set of states, Σ_k the input alphabet $\{0,1,...,k-1\}$, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_k \to Q$ the transition function, $q_0 \in Q$ the initial state, Δ the output alphabet, and $\tau : Q \to \Delta$ the output

function. We expand δ to a function from $Q \times \Sigma_k \to Q$ by defining, for a word $w = w_1...w_j$ of length at least 2 in Σ_k^*, $\delta(q, w) = \delta(\delta(1, w_j), w_1...w_{j-1})$. The sequence $(u(n))_{n\geq 0}$ generated by the automaton M is defined by $u(0) = \tau(q_0)$ and $u(n) = \tau(\delta(q_0, (n)_k))$ for $n > 0$, where $(n)_k$ is the base-k expansion of n. In other words, we define $u(n)$ to be the output when we feed the base-k expansion of n to M starting from the least significant digit.

The following theorem reduces the problem of proving the transcendence of a series over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ to proving the non-q-automaticity of the sequence of its coefficients.

Theorem 3 (Christol, Kamae, Mendès France, and Rauzy)**.** *The formal power series $f(T) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n T^{-n} \in \mathbb{F}_q\left[\left[\frac{1}{T}\right]\right]$ is algebraic over the fraction field $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ if and only if the sequence $(f_n)_n$ is q-automatic.*

The following lemma gives a necessary condition of k-automaticity, and therefore a way of proving that a sequence is not k-automatic. For a letter x in $\{0, ..., k-1\}$, the notation x^m means the concatenation of m times x. For a word $w = w_0...w_n \in \{0, ..., k-1\}^*$, we let $[w]_q$ denote the integer whose base-q expansion is w.

Lemma 1. *Let $(u(n))_{n\geq 0}$ be a k-automatic sequence. Then the set of sequences*

$$\{(u([1^n 0^j]_k))_{n\geq 1} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Let $M = (Q, \Sigma_k, \delta, q_0, \Delta, \tau)$ be a k-DFAO that generates $(u(n))_{n\geq 0}$. Then $(u([1^n 0^j]_k)) = \tau(\delta(q_0, 1^n 0^j)) = \tau(\delta(\delta(q_0, 0^j), 1^n))$. As $\delta(q_0, 0^j) \in Q$ and Q is finite, the set $\{(u([1^n 0^j]_k))_{n\geq 0} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite. □

As in [1], we define

$$\alpha = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{T^{q^j}}{T^{q^{j+1}}}\right).$$

As α is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$, in order to prove Theorem 2, we only need to prove the transcendence of $\frac{\alpha}{\Pi} L(1, \chi_s)$. From Theorem 1, we deduce the expression that we will use for this article:

$$\frac{\alpha}{\Pi} L(1, \chi_s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k(s-1)} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{(q-s)\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{T}\right)^{s\cdot\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{q^j-1}\right). \quad (*)$$

In Section 2, we will prove the following proposition:

Proposition 1. *Let s be an integer such that $1 < s < q$. We denote by $u(n)$ coefficients of $\frac{1}{T^n}$ in $\frac{\alpha}{\Pi} L(1, \chi_s)$. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(u([1^n 0^j]_q))_n$ is ultimately periodic and the length of the initial non-periodic segment of $(u([1^n 0^j]_q))_n$ is a strictly increasing function with respect to j. In particular, the set*

$$\{(u([1^n 0^j]_q))_{n\geq 0} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is infinite.

We obtain immediately the following Corollary using Theorem 3 and Lemma 1.

Corollary 1. *For $1 < s < q$, series $\frac{\alpha}{\Pi} L(1, \chi_s)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$.*

2 Proof of Proposition 1

We let S_k denote the k-th summand in the expression $(*)$. First we observe that for $b \in \mathbb{N}$, the term T^{-b} may appear in S_k for more than one k. We want to determine $[T^{-b}]S_k$, the coefficient of T^{-b} in S_k. For $1 < s < q$, we denote $q - s$ by $\bar{s}$, then from $(*)$ we see that if $[T^{-b}]S_k \neq 0$, then b can be written as

$$b = r_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j (q^j - 1), \tag{1}$$

where $r_k \in [[\bar{s}^k]_q, [1^k 0]_q]$, $\varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for $j \geq k+1$ and $\varepsilon_j = 0$ for j big enough. The following Lemma implies that such a decomposition is unique for b and k.

Lemma 2. *i) Let k and l be positive integers such that $l \geq k$, then*

$$[1^k 0]_q + \sum_{k+1 \leq j \leq l} (q^j - 1) < q^{l+1} - 1.$$

ii) In particular, if n can be written as

$$b = r_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j (q^j - 1),$$

where $r_k \in [[\bar{s}^k]_q, [1^k 0]_q]$, $\varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ not all 0 and $\varepsilon_j = 0$ for j big enough, then

$$\max_{j \geq k+1} \{\varepsilon_j = 1\} = \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{q^j - 1 \leq b\}.$$

Proof. i)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1^k 0]_q + \sum_{k+1 \leq j \leq l} (q^j - 1) \\
\leq &[1^k 0]_q + \sum_{k+1 \leq j \leq l} q^j \\
= &[1^k 0]_q + [1^{l-k} 0^{k+1}]_q \\
= &[1^l 0]_q \\
< &[1^{l+1}]_q \\
\leq &q^{l+1} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) It is evident that

$$J_1 := \max_{j \geq k+1} \{\varepsilon_j = 1\} \leq \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{q^j - 1 \leq b\} =: J_2.$$

Suppose that the inequality is strict. Then we would have

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= r_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{J_1} \varepsilon_j (q^j - 1) \\
&\leq [1^k 0] + \sum_{j=k+1}^{J_1} (q^j - 1) \\
&< q^{J_2} - 1 \\
&\leq b,
\end{aligned}$$

contradiction.

□

For $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we can obtain all possible decompositions of b of the form (1) by applying repetitively Lemma 2:

Input: positive integer b
Output: finite sequence $(b)_n$ and a set I
$i := 1$;
$I := \phi$;
$b_1 := b$;
if $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}$ *s.t.* $[\bar{s}^l]_q \leq b_i \leq [1^l 0]_q$ **then**
  add i to I;
end
while $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *s.t.* $b_i \geq q^l - 1$ **do**
  $l_i := \max_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*} \{b \geq q^l - 1\}$;
  if $b_i - (q^{l_i} - 1) > [1^{l_i - 1} 0]_q$ **then**
    end of procedure;
  else
    $b_{i+1} := b_i - (q^{l_i} - 1)$;
    $i++$;
    if $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}$ *s.t.* $[\bar{s}^l]_q \leq b_i \leq [1^l 0]_q$ **then**
      add i to I;
    end
  end
end

Algorithm 1: Decomposition of b

Then all decompositions of b in the form (1) are $b_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})$ for $i \in I$.

As we are interested in the coefficients $u([1^m 0^j]_q)$, we define $b_{j,m,1} = [1^m 0^j]_q$ for $j, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. And we define $b_{j,m,n}$ using the procedure above with input $b_{j,m,1}$.

For example, for $j = 2$ and $q = 3$, the base-q expansion of $b_{j,m,n}$ is as follows, the symbol $*$ means that $b_{j,m,n}$ is not defined:

	1	2	3	4	5
1	100	1	*	*	*
2	1100	101	2	0	*
3	11100	1101	102	10	*
4	111100	11101	1102	110	*
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Table 1: $b_{2,m,n}$ for $q = 3$

We can observe some patterns from the table above, which we summarize in the following Lemma:

Lemma 3. *For $j \geq 2$, the statement $P(n)$ is true for $1 \leq n \leq q^{j-1} + 1$ and the statements $Q(n)$ and $R(n)$ are true for $1 \leq n \leq q^{j-1}$:*
$P(n)$: For all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $m \geq n - 1$, $b_{j,m,n}$ is defined and $b_{j,m+1,n} = b_{j,m,n} + q^{j+m+1-n}$.
$Q(n)$: For all $m \geq n$, $l_{j,m,n} := \max_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*} \{b_{j,m,n} \geq q^l - 1\} = j + m - n$, and $b_{j,m,n} - (q^{l_{j,m,n}} - 1) \leq$

$[1^{l_{j,m,n}-1}0]_q$. *Thus* $b_{j,m,n+1} = b_{j,m,n} - (q^{j+m-n} - 1)$.
$R(n)$: *For all* $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *and* $m \geq n-1$, $b_{j,m+1,n+1} = b_{j,m,n} + 1$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n.

For $n = 1$, $P(1)$ is true by definition of $b_{j,m,1}$.

To prove $Q(1)$ we use induction on m. First,

$$l_{j,1,1} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{b_{j,1,1} \geq q^l - 1\} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{[10^j]_1 \geq q^l - 1\} = j + 1 - 1.$$

And

$$b_{j,1,1} - (q^{l_{j,1,1}} - 1) = 1 \leq [1^{l_{j,1,1}-1}0]_q.$$

Suppose that the statements are true for m, using $P(1)$ we have

$$l_{j,m+1,1} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{b_{j,m+1,1} \geq q^l - 1\} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{b_{j,m,1} + q^{j+m+1-1} \geq q^l - 1\} = l_{j,m,1} + 1 = j + m + 1 - 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{j,m+1,1} - (q^{j+m+1-1} - 1)\\
=&(b_{j,m,1} + q^{j+m+1-1}) - q^{j+m+1-1} + 1\\
=&b_{j,m,1} + 1\\
=&b_{j,m,1} - (q^{j+m-1} - 1) + q^{j+m-1}\\
\leq&[1^{j+m-1-1}0]_q + q^{j+m-1}\\
=&[1^{j+m-1}0]_q,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves $Q(1)$.

From $P(1)$ and $Q(1)$ follows $R(1)$.

Suppose that for $n < q^{j-1}$, we have proven $P(n')$, $Q(n')$ and $R(n')$ for all $n' \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Let us prove $P(n+1)$, $Q(n+1)$ and $R(n+1)$.

First, $P(n+1)$ can be deduced immediately from $P(n)$ and $R(n)$.

For $Q(n+1)$, we prove by induction on $m \geq n+1$. By $R(1), ..., R(n)$ we have $b_{j,n+1,n+1} = b_{j,1,1} + n \leq q^j + q^{j-1} - 1$. Therefore

$$l_{j,n+1,n+1} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{b_{j,n+1,n+1} \geq q^l - 1\} \leq \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{q^j + q^{j-1} - 1 \geq q^l - 1\} = j,$$

on the other hand,

$$l_{j,n+1,n+1} = \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{b_{j,n+1,n+1} \geq q^l - 1\} \geq \max_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}\{q^j \geq q^l - 1\} = j.$$

Therefore $l_{j,n+1,n+1} = j = j + (n+1) - (n+1)$. Besides,

$$b_{j,n+1,n+1} - (q^{l_{j,n+1,n+1}} - 1) \leq q^j + q^{j-1} - 1 - (q^j - 1) = q^{j-1} \leq [1^{j-1}0]_q,$$

which proves $Q(n+1)$. From $P(n+1)$ and $Q(n+1)$ follows $R(n+1)$.

Finally, $P(q^{j-1}+1)$ can be deduced from $Q(q^{j-1})$ and $R(q^{j-1})$. □

Corollary 2. *For* $j \geq 2$, $1 \leq n \leq q^{j-1} + 1$ *and* $m \geq n$, $b_{j,m,n} \in \{[\bar{s}^{j+m-n}]_q, ..., [1^{j+m-n}0]_q\}$.

Now we look at the table of $b_{j,m,n}$ for $j = 4$ and $q = 3$.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	1000	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	11000	1001	2	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	111000	11001	1002	10	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	.	111001	11002	1010	11	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	.	.	111002	11010	1011	12	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	.	.	.	111010	11011	1012	20	11	*	*	*	*	*	*
7	.	.	.	.	111011	11012	1020	21	12	*	*	*	*	*
8	.	.	.	.	.	111012	11020	1021	22	0	*	*	*	*
9	.	.	.	.	.	.	111020	11021	1022	100	1	*	*	*
10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	111021	11022	1100	101	2	0	*
11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	111022	11100	1101	102	10	*
12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	111100	11101	1102	110	*
13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	111101	11102	1110	*

Table 2: $b_{3,m,n}$ for $q = 3$

We notice that starting from $m = 9$ and $n = 10$, the subtable is the same as that of $j = 2$ and $q = 3$. It is the case in general that the table of $b_{j,m,n}$ occurs at the end of the table of $b_{j+1,m,n}$.

Lemma 4. *For $j, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n \geq q^j + 1$ and $m \geq q^j$, $b_{j+1,m,n}$ is defined if and only if $b_{j,m-q^j+1,n-q^j}$ is defined. When they are defined they have the same value.*

Proof. By the definition of $b_{j,m,n}$, the first two columns determine the rest of the table. Therefore we only need to prove that for all $m \geq q^j$,

$$b_{j+1,m,q^j+1} = b_{j,m-q^j+1,1} \tag{2}$$

and

$$b_{j+1,m,q^j+2} = b_{j,m-q^j+1,2}. \tag{3}$$

By applying Lemma 3 we have

$$b_{j+1,q^j,q^j+1} = b_{j+1,1,2} + q^j - 1 = q^j = b_{j,1,1},$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$b_{j+1,q^j+k+1,q^j+1} - b_{j+1,q^j+k,q^j+1} = q^{j+k+2}.$$

Thus for $m \geq q^j$

$$b_{j+1,m,q^j+1} = [1^{m+1-q^j}0^j]_q = b_{j,m+1-q^j,1}$$

which proves (2).

For $m \geq q^j$, accroding to the second point Lemma 3,

$$b_{j+1,m,q^j+1} - b_{j+1,m,q^j+2} = q^{j+1+m-(q^j+1)} - 1 = q^{j+(m-q^j+1)-1} = b_{j,m-q^j+1,1} - b_{j,m-q^j+1,2}.$$

which proves (3). □

To calculate the coefficient of T^{-n} in S_k, we define $k_{j,m,n}$ and $c_{j,m,n}$ as follows: When $b_{j,m,n}$ is defined and there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_{j,m,n} \in \{[\bar{s}^k]_q, ..., [1^k 0]_q\}$, $k_{j,m,n}$ is defined to be k. Otherwise $k_{j,m,n}$ is not defined. When $k_{j,m,n}$ is defined, $c_{j,m,n}$ is defined to be $b_{j,m,n} - [\bar{s}^{k_{j,m,n}}]_q$. Finally we define $N_{j,m}$ to be $\{n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } c_{j,m,n} \text{ is defined}\}$. From the expression $(*)$ we see that:

Lemma 5. *For $j, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$\left[T^{[1^m 0^j]_q}\right] \frac{\alpha}{\Pi} L(1, \chi_s) = \sum_{n \in N_{j,m}} (-1)^{k_{j,m,n}(s-1)} \binom{\left[s^{k_{j,m,n}}\right]_q}{c_{j,m,n}} (-a)^{c_{j,m,n}} \cdot (-1)^{n-1}.$$

For $n \in N_{j,m}$, we denote by $d_{j,m,n}$ the quantity $(-1)^{k_{j,m,n}(s-1)}\binom{\left[s^{k_{j,m,n}}\right]_q}{c_{j,m,n}}(-a)^{c_{j,m,n}} \cdot (-1)^{n-1}$. For other $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define $d_{j,m,n}$ to be 0 for convenience.

In order to calculate the coefficients we need the following Theorem:

Theorem 4 (Lucas)**.** *Let p be a prime number and $q = p^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $m = \sum_i m_i q^i$, $n = \sum_j n_j q^j$ be two integers, where $m_i, n_j \in \{0, 1, ..., q-1\}$. Then*

$$\binom{m}{n} \equiv \prod_i \binom{m_i}{n_i} \mod p.$$

Let us look at an example of $c_{j,m,n}$ and $d_{j,m,n}$ with $j = 2$, $q = 3$ and $s = 2$:

	1	2	3	4	5
1	12	0	*	*	*
2	212	20	1	0	*
3	2212	220	21	2	*
4	22212	2220	221	22	*
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Table 3: $c_{2,m,n}$ for $q = 3$ and $s = 2$

	1	2	3	4	5
1	$2(-a)^3$	1	0	0	0
2	$-2(-a)^5$	$-(-a)^2$	$-2(-a)$	-1	0
3	$2(-a)^7$	$(-a)^4$	$2(-a)^3$	$(-a)^2$	0
4	$-2(-a)^9$	$-(-a)^6$	$-2(-a)^5$	$-(-a)^4$	0
5	$2(-a)^{11}$	$(-a)^8$	$2(-a)^7$	$(-a)^6$	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Table 4: $d_{2,m,n}$ for $q = 3$ and $s = 2$

From the table we observe that $(d_{j,m,n})_{m \geq n}$ seems to be periodic. Indeed, we have:

Lemma 6. *For $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $1 \leq n \leq q^{j-1} + 1$, the sequence $(d_{j,m,n})_{m \geq n}$ is periodic.*

Proof. Throughout this proof we suppose that $1 \leq n \leq q^{j-1} + 1$ and $m \geq n$.

First, we know from Corollary 2 that $k_{j,m,n}$ and thus $d_{j,m,n}$, are defined and $k_{j,m,n} = j+m-n$. From Lemma 3 we see that

$$0 < c_{j,m,n} \leq q^{j+m-n} - 1$$

and

$$c_{j,m+1,n} = c_{j,m,n} + s \cdot q^{j+m-n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{j,m+1,n} &= (-1)^{k_{j,m+1,n}(s-1)}\binom{\left[s^{k_{j,m+1,n}}\right]_q}{c_{j,m+1,n}}(-a)^{c_{j,m+1,n}} \cdot (-1)^{n-1} \\
&= (-1)^{(j+m+1-n)(s-1)}\binom{\left[s^{j+m+1-n}\right]_q}{s \cdot q^{j+m-n} + c_{j,m,n}}(-a)^{s \cdot q^{j+m-n} + c_{j,m,n}} \cdot (-1)^{n-1} \\
&= (-1)^{s-1}(-1)^{k_{j,m,n}(s-1)}\binom{s}{s}\binom{\left[s^{k_{j,m,n}}\right]_q}{c_{j,m,n}}(-a)^{s \cdot q^{j+m-n}}(-a)^{c_{j,m,n}} \cdot (-1)^{n-1} \\
&= (-1)^{s-1}(-a)^s \cdot d_{j,m,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $(-1)^{s-1}(-a)^s$ is an element in a finite field, if $a \neq 0$, the sequence $(d_{j,m,n})_{m\geq n}$ is periodic. If $a = 0$, as $c_{j,n,n} \neq 0$, the sequence $(d_{j,m,n})_{m\geq n}$ is always 0, therefore also periodic. □

For an ultimately periodic sequence $(a_n)_n$ we define $IN((a_n)_n)$ to be the index of the earlist term from which the sequence is periodic. That is,

$$IN((a_n)_n) = \min_i\{(a_n)_{n\geq i} \text{ is periodic}\}.$$

The idea of the proof of Proposition 1 is that the sequences $(d_{j,m,n})_{m\geq 1}$ are ultimately periodic and the $IN((d_{j,m,n})_{m\geq 1})$ increases with n for $n \leq n_0 := \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} q^i$. For $n > n_0$, the sequence $(d_{j,m,n})_{m\geq 1}$ is zero. We have $u\left([1^m 0^j]_q\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} d_{j,m,n}$ and $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^j]_q\right)\right)_{m\geq 1}\right)$ is not far from the $IN\left((d_{j,m,n_0})_{m\geq 0}\right)$. In order to justify the last point, we need to take a closer look at the table of $d_{2,m,n}$, which according to Lemma 4 occurs at the end of the table of $d_{j,m,n}$ for $j \geq 3$.

From the proof of Lemma 6 and the definition of $b_{j,m,n}$ and $d_{j,m,n}$ it is easy to give an explicit expression of $d_{2,m,n}$:

Lemma 7. *For $n = 1$,*

$$d_{2,m,n} = \binom{s}{s-1}(-a)^{(s-1)+(m+1-n)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-n)}(-1)^{n-1}.$$

For $2 \leq n \leq \bar{s}$, $d_{2,m,n} = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
For $\bar{s}+1 \leq n \leq q$,

$$d_{2,m,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < n-1 \\ \binom{s}{n-1-\bar{s}}(-a)^{(n-1-\bar{s})+(m+1-n)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-n)}(-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } m \geq n-1 \end{cases}$$

For $n = q+1$,

$$d_{2,m,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < n-2 \\ (-a)^{(m+2-n)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-n)}(-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } m \geq n-2 \end{cases}$$

For $n > q+1$, $d_{2,m,n} = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$

From the table of $d_{2,m,n}$ and $d_{3,m,n}$ we can see easily that the following Lemma is true. We provide nontheless a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 8. $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^3]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq q^2$.

Proof. We divide the argument into two cases.

Case 1: $1 - s \cdot a^{s-1} \neq 0$ or $q > 3$.

In this case we prove that $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^2]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq 2$. Thus by Lemma 4 and Lemma 7 we have $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^3]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq q^2$.

Case 1.1: If $a = 0$, then from Lemma 7 we know that

$$\max_m\{\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ s. t. } d_{2,m,n} \neq 0\} = q-1.$$

Therefore $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^2]_q\right)\right)_m\right) = q-1$.

Case 1.2 If $a \neq 0$ and $1 - s \cdot a^{s-1} \neq 0$, we rewrite the expressions in Lemma 7 for $n = q$ and $n = q + 1$ as

$$d_{2,m,q} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < q-1 \\ s \cdot (-a)^{(s-1)+(m+1-q)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-q)}(-1)^{q-1} & \text{if } m \geq q-1. \end{cases}$$

$$d_{2,m,q+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < q-1 \\ (-a)^{(m+1-q)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-q-1)}(-1)^{q} & \text{if } m \geq q-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$d_{2,m,q} + d_{2,m,q+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < q-1 \\ (1 - s \cdot a^{s-1})(-a)^{(m+1-q)\cdot s}(-1)^{(s-1)(m-q-1)}(-1)^{q} & \text{if } m \geq q-1. \end{cases}$$

Since $1 - s \cdot a^{s-1} \neq 0$, $IN((d_{2,m,q} + d_{2,m,q+1})_m) = q - 1$. By Lemma 7, $IN((d_{2,m,n})_m) \leq q - 2$ for all $1 \leq n \leq q - 1$. Therefore $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^2]_q\right)\right)_m\right) = q - 1 \geq 2$.

Case 1.3 If $1 - s \cdot a^{s-1} = 0$ and $q \geq 4$, $IN((d_{2,m,q} + d_{2,m,q+1})_m) = 1$. Since $s > 1$, $\bar{s} + 1 \leq q - 1$. Therefore by Lemma 7 we have $IN(d_{2,m,q-1}) = q - 2 > 1$ since $q \geq 4$. By Lemma 7, for $1 \leq n < q-1$, $IN(d_{2,m,n}) \geq \max\{1, ..., q-3\}$. Therefore $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^2]_q\right)\right)_m\right) = q - 2 \geq 2$.

Case 2: If $1 - s \cdot a^{s-1} = 0$ and $q = 3$, using the formula in Lemma 7 we find that $d_{2,m,n} = 0$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. So we look at the table of $d_{3,m,n}$. With similar calculation we find for $n \leq q^2$, the sequences $(d_{3,m,n})_m$ are periodic from $m = q^2 - 1$. But the sum of the last four columns $(n = q^2 + 1, ..., q^2 + 4)$ is only periodic from $m = q^2$. Therefore $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^3]_q\right)\right)_m\right) = q^2$.

□

Proof of Proposition 1. We prove by induction on j that $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^j]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq q^{j-1}$ for $j \geq 3$. By Lemma 8 we know that $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^3]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq q^2$.

Suppose that for we have proven for j that $IN\left(\left(u\left([1^m 0^j]_q\right)\right)_m\right) \geq q^{j-1}$. We define $n_0 := \sum_{i=0}^{j} q^i$ and $n_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} q^i$. Then

$$u\left([1^m 0^{j+1}]_q\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} d_{j+1,m,n} = \sum_{n=1}^{q^j} d_{j+1,m,n} + \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} d_{j+1,m,n+q^j}.$$

By Lemma 6 we know that $IN(\sum_{n=1}^{q^j} d_{j+1,m,n}) \leq q^j$. By Lemma 4 we know that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_1} d_{j+1,m+q^j-1,n+q^j} = \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} d_{j,m,n} \cdot (-1)^q = u\left([1^m 0^j]_q\right) \cdot (-1)^q.$$

By the hypothesis of induction we have

$$IN((\sum_{n=1}^{n_1} d_{j+1,m,n+q^j})_m) = q^j - 1 + IN((u([1^m 0^j]_q))_m) \geq q^j + 2.$$

When we have two ultimately periodic sequences u and v such that $IN(u) > IN(v)$, we have $IN(u + v) = IN(u)$. Therefore

$$IN(u\left([1^m 0^{j+1}]_q\right)) = IN(\sum_{n=1}^{n_1} d_{j+1,m,n+q^j}) \geq q^j + 2.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J.-P. Allouche, "Sur la transcendance de la série formelle Π", Sém. de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, Série 2 **2** (1990), 103–117.

[2] G. Christol, T. Kamae, M. Mendès France and G. Rauzy, "Suites algébriques, automates et substitutions", Bull. Soc. Math. France, 108, 1980, p 401–419.

[3] G. Damamme, "Étude de $L(s,\chi)/\Pi^s$ pour des fonctions L relatives à $\mathbb{F}_q((T^{-1}))$ et associées à des caractères de degré 1 ", J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 11.2 (1999), 369–385.

[4] B. de Mathan, "Irrationnality measures and transcendence in positive characteristic. J. of Number Theory 54 (1995), 93–112.